



Jeffrey Epstein's Co-Conspirators: The Noose Is Tightening

We recently launched our crowdfunding campaign so that we can continue our independent and non-profit journalism in 2026. Support us today:

BANKKONTO:	PAYPAL:	PATREON:	BETTERPLACE:
Kontoinhaber: acTVism München e.V.	E-Mail: PayPal@acTVism.org	https://www.patreon.com/acTVism	Link: Click here
Bank: GLS Bank			
IBAN: DE89430609678224073600			
BIC: GENODEM1GLS			

The association acTVism Munich e.V. is a non-profit association with legal capacity. The association pursues exclusively and directly charitable and benevolent purposes. Donations from Germany are tax deductible. If you require a donation receipt, please send us an email to: info@acTVism.org

This transcript may not be 100% accurate due to audio quality or other factors.

DL: Before we get into the top ten fake news stories of 2025, I just want to share with our audience something that was again brought to our attention by friends of the show, audience members out on the West coast of the United States, Siri and Brad. Thank you very much for sharing this with us. Some of you may have seen that a couple of live streams ago, we talked about these pro-Israel ads that are being aired during our episodes on YouTube in the United States. And we showed a video of one of those ads, and it was attached or it aired during a report that we had done on the so-called ceasefire in Gaza. So the report in question related to Palestine and Israel. So our friend Siri and Brad sent us another, the same video, but this time they aired it while Rami was doing his report from Panama. So it's not just the Israel reports, the Israel or Palestine related reports that this ad is appearing on it's basically, it seems, all of

our content. So I'm just gonna show you this ad briefly and notice the name of the organization at the end.

Ad: And they took her hostage for 400 days and terrifying nights. They raped her. They put guns to her head. They starved her. These are the stories of Israeli women attacked by Hamas. If you were supporting Hamas and radicalized Palestinians, this is what you are supporting. Choose freedom.

DL: Okay, just stop there for a second. Freedom not terror. Oh wait, I just want to show the end of this, Rami.

Rami Yahia (RY): Oh one second I need to put it back then.

DL: There it is. There it is. So there's Rami talking from the streets of Panama. And they're airing this pro-Israel ad. So we did a little digging into this campaign Freedom Not Terror, and we found an interesting article on the substack of an outlet called Eurovision News. And they say "Freedom not terror is part of Israel's digital war against Hamas and running on old footage and US dollars. The Israeli government," – I'm reading here from the report October 24th, 2025, this is shortly after the so-called ceasefire came into effect – "the Israeli government has begun a new advertising campaign from newly created non-governmental social media accounts accusing Hamas of choosing terror amid the ceasefire in the Gaza Strip. Titled Freedom Not Terror, the new campaign is targeting viewers in the US and promotes various talking points, including the recent executions by Hamas. And while some of the videos are being hosted on newly created social media accounts that are not immediately identified as government affiliated, paid ads using this content are being run by the dastardly Israeli government advertising agency LAPAM on Google platforms. So the Israeli government is targeting our program with anti-Palestinian ads, obviously trying to make the Palestinians look like people who deserve the monstrosities that Israel has been inflicting upon them. Before we go on to the top ten fake news stories, any thoughts about that, Rami?

RY: I mean, it's a lost cause. First of all, they're describing themselves to be fighting against Hamas digitally, basically insinuating that everyone who's advocating for Palestine online is part of Hamas. I mean, maybe I'm misunderstanding this, but it's a lost cause for them. I mean, the images don't lie. No matter which amount of money they're going to spend with this propaganda, I mean you see it on the comments as well, right? Like my most friends who don't really engage in politics all see Israel in such a bad light. I don't know. It will take a lot more than that to ever clean their image if anything at all.

DL: Probably the best way to clean their image is to dismantle Israel altogether and give it back to the Palestinians. And anybody, any Jewish Israeli who wants to remain and live in a state with Palestinians as equals, my own belief is that most Palestinians would welcome that if they were genuinely determined to treat Palestinians as equals, but we're nowhere near that reality at this point. So let's begin with the top ten news stories, fake news stories of 2025. And Rami and I, the way we're gonna work this is I chose five, Rami chose five, we

compared a list to make sure there wasn't overlap, and then we're gonna go back and forth until we go through the ten. We're not going here in any particular order. So we really want to kind of leave it up to you at the end of this to decide which of these stories is the biggest fake news story of the year. And maybe between now and the time we finish going through those stories, we'll figure out how to conduct a poll on this thing. But does anybody have any suggestions for how we can do that? Sorry for being so primitive, but we haven't yet figured out how to conduct a poll in our live stream. This will be the first time we do it if we can pull it off. So top ten fake news story number one. The claim that Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell had no co-conspirators. So let's take a few minutes to tell you why this is fake. So in July, after Trump officials, like Pam Bondi said there was a file on Epstein's clients sitting on her desk, the Department of Justice and the FBI suddenly put out a statement saying that they would disclose no further Epstein files because there was no basis to charge anyone other than Epstein and Maxwell. And they said they wanted to protect the victims. And so they put out this unsigned memo. See here the DOJ and the Federal Bureau of Investigation in July of this year, I've highlighted the more pertinent parts. They said they had, you know, more than 300 gigabytes of data and physical evidence. And then they go on about the thoroughness with which it was reviewed. The files relating to Epstein, they said include a large volume of images of Epstein, images and videos of victims who are either minors or appear to be minors, and over 10,000 downloaded videos and images of illegal child sex abuse material and other pornography. Notice they don't say anything there about wealthy men who are friends of Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell, right? They just say images of Epstein and his victims. And then they say "Teams of agents, analysts, attorneys, and privacy and civil liberties experts comb through the digital and documentary evidence with the aim of providing as much information as possible to the public while simultaneously protecting the victims." And then down in the third paragraph, "The systematic review revealed no incriminating client list." And here's the most important part. There was also no credible evidence found that Epstein blackmailed prominent individuals as part of his actions. We did not uncover evidence that could predicate an investigation against uncharged third parties. That's the key statement. And at the bottom it says, "It is the determination of the Department of Justice and the Federal Bureau of Investigation that no further disclosure would be appropriate or warranted. So remember that the FBI acknowledges and has acknowledged for some time that Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell victimized around 1,200 females. Now that's what they acknowledge. The number, who knows, could be substantially higher. Are we seriously to believe that the only people who abused those 1,200 females were Jeffrey Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell? Now, the claim that there are no other co-conspirators took a massive hit two months later in September of this year when Republican Congressman Thomas Massey of Kentucky grilled FBI director, that fool, Kash Patel, about co-conspirators, and here's part of that exchange. This was in a congressional hearing.

Thomas Massey (TM): I watched some of your Senate hearing yesterday when Senator Kennedy asked you: "You've seen most of the files. Who, if anyone, did Epstein traffic these women to besides himself?" You replied, according to the transcript: "There is no credible information that he trafficked them to anyone else." You also said somewhere in the hearing and here today that the problem is that the case files are constrained by limited search

warrants from 2006 to 2007, and that the non-prosecution agreement hamstrung future investigations. Those constraints only apply to the Southern District of Florida. They do not apply to the Southern District of New York, the location of the 2019 sex trafficking indictment, which produced many things, including a series of FD 302 documents. According to victims who cooperated with the FBI in that investigation, these documents in FBI possession, your possession, detail at least 20 men, including Mr. Jes Staley, CEO of Barclays Bank, who Jeffrey...

DL: The CEO of Barclays Bank, people.

TM: Epstein trafficked victims too. Victims including minors such as Virginia Roberts Giuffre. May she rest in peace. That list also includes at least 19 other individuals, one Hollywood producer worth a few hundred million dollars, one Royal Prince, one high-profile individual in the music industry, one very prominent banker, one high-profile government official, one high-profile former politician, one owner of a car company in Italy, one rock star, one magician, at least six billionaires, including a billionaire from Canada.

DL: A billionaire from Canada. Who might that be? By the way, there probably will be some speculation that it's the disgraced fashion mogul sexual predator Peter Nygard. Now he was reportedly worth hundreds of millions of dollars. I don't know though if he ever reached the level of a billionaire status, so I'm not sure that this is actually a reference to Peter Nygard.

RY: Could it be the billionaire that we discovered behind AWZ Ventures that is supporting pretty much all these really cybersecurity and monitoring companies?

DL: Hey, anything's possible, Rami. I don't want to get sued for defamation though, so we'll just park that one from the time being. I want to hear Kash Patel's response to this explosive information.

TM: We know these people exist in the FBI files, the files that you control. I don't know exactly who they are, but the FBI does. Have you launched any investigations into any of these people? And have you seen these three oh two documents?

Kash Patel (KP): I have asked my FBI agents to review the entirety of the Epstein files and bring forth any credible information and we're working with Congress not only to divulge that information and produce it to you, but any investigations that arise from any credible investigation will be brought. There have been no new materials brought to me launching a new indictment.

TM: So is the loophole here or is it your assertion that these victims aren't credible, that the 302's maybe didn't produce credible statements that rise to a probable cause?

KP: It's not my assertion, sir. It's the assertion of two different United States attorney's offices from three separate administrations who investigated those same materials.

TM: Are the 302 documents in the FBI's possession? They reviewed all that, yes, sir. And so have you reviewed those 302 documents where the victims name the people who victimized them? [00:12:24]/[12.6]

KP: I personally no, but the FBI has.

DL: Three successive administrations said the victims were not credible. All of those administrations had a powerful incentive to bury the allegations because they almost certainly involve people who are closely connected to either the Democratic or the Republican Party and people who have a lot of power and influence in the West. So, you know, Kash Patel, who hasn't actually seen the statements, you know, no one should take any comfort whatsoever in his claim that these witnesses were not credible. Who who trust the Trump regime, you know, led by such a man as Donald Trump to decide whether women alleging sexual abuse by powerful men are credible? You're gonna take Donald Trump's word for it or anybody who appoints to this task? So let me just close the loop on this one by showing you a couple of emails that just emerged in the latest document dump, which you know really blew a big hole in the claim that there were no co-conspirators. This is an email that the FBI has released from July 2019. And you can see here someone from FBI New York is asking somebody else in the FBI on July 7th, 2019: "When you get a chance, can you give me an update on the status of the ten co-conspirators? Do we need blank blank blank to head out on blank blank? And then there's another one that came out, another email. This is May 6th, 2020. So this is the following year. Now, presumably between July 2019 and May 2020, they did a lot of investigation, right? That's ten months. And this email, which appears to have come from an assistant US attorney in the Southern District of New York – and by the way, this is important because one of the arguments that you know the Trump regime has used is to say there was a deal involving Florida prosecutors way back in the early 2000s that gave immunity to a bunch of people, who might have been co-conspirators, and so that can't be pursued. But that agreement doesn't bind authorities outside of Florida. So, for example, it doesn't bind federal prosecutors in the Southern District of New York. So this person says memos: "Memo on co-conspirators we could potentially charge the Monday after Epstein's death." Then they ask for a "co-conspirator update memo, 86 pages, a corporate prosecution memo, 13 pages, never discussed. A prosecution memo, April, 26 pages, hasn't been discussed in three weeks since we sent it. Perjury NM memo will be another 30 pages." My friends, on the basis of all of that and more, we can say that the claim that there are no co-conspirators for Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell is massively fake news. Take it away, Rami.

RY: You want me to comment on that?

DL: No, I know in the interest of time, we can do that at the end. You could deal with your first choice.

RY: Yeah. So my first choice was that Venezuela is flooding the United States with drugs with fentanyl or everything of this kind of sort. So the first thing is that Venezuela is not even mentioned as a country of origin for fentanyl smuggled into the United States in the DEA's

2025 National Drug Threat Assessment report. And then there's furthermore, there's some data showing that only 210 metric tons of cocaine passed through Venezuela in 2018 compared to a 1400 metric tons that went through Guatemala, showing that there are actually different roads used to smuggle cocaine directly either from Colombia, Mexico, but Venezuela plays an extremely, extremely extremely small role end. And that's also when we take into account the fact that I believe in 1980's the United States CIA had like an office in Venezuela that was found guilty of smuggling drugs into the United States from Venezuela. So even if there is a culture, let's just say of manufacturing drugs and shipping them to the United States, actually it was the United States that were guilty of that. There's a post by Max Blumenthal about this where he reposted this New York Times article. It was kind of interesting. And then most importantly, that fake news was then supported by Trump just like two weeks ago. He declared fentanyl as a weapon of mass destruction, saying: "I hereby designate illicit fentanyl and its core precursor chemicals as weapons of mass destruction. We're blaming Venezuela for an infiltration of fentanyl in the United States", but also China being this hub for manufacturing the precursors of fentanyl that is then shipped to Mexico. So in some way, Trump is saying Venezuela has weapons of mass destruction and China as well. So we could all see where this is going. Then the Secretary of War, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, "shall update all directives regarding the armed forces" – that's part of the designation of fentanyl as a weapon of mass destruction – "shall update all directives regarding the armed forces' response to chemical incidents in the homeland to include the threat of an illicit fentanyl." So basically, the US armed forces has been given carte blanche to target anywhere around the world where weapons of mass destruction can be used to harm US civilians or US armed forces. And why do the United States need to declare fentanyl as a weapon of mass destruction? Well, because that allows the president to bypass Congress to declare war against the country. So today it starts with Venezuela because Venezuela historically has always been a hub critical of the United States. They're not part of the Monroe vision of the Western hemisphere as being loyal viceful states of the United States. Also, Venezuela has this huge oil reserve, the largest proven reserve of oil in the entire world. And and also I think it's also important to mention that Purdue Pharma is, I think it was even a publicly traded company, that was part of the United States that spearheaded truly this opioid crisis in the United States. And I just have this tiny little graph over here showing that the smuggling of drugs into the United States is disproportionately done by United States citizens, and then drastically less by Mexican nationals and a number that you could barely even recognized by other nationalities. So, in my opinion, Dimitri, this is this is not even fake news. This is intentional disinformation meant to manufacture consent at war against Venezuela. And then once we're done with Venezuela, I think no other country in the Western Hemisphere is going to be safe from this because Mexico can be, I mean, there's evidence showing that they're actually there's drugs being smuggled from the southern border into the United States; Colombia, Chile, Argentina, God knows. So this is more of a doctrine and what we could envision over the next few years, how America will be bullying countries in the Western hemisphere through this false pretext of drugs. So for me, Dimitri, I'm convinced this is the number one biggest fake news of the year.

END