



Yemen Strikes Back: Saudi-U.S. Offensive Ends in Disaster

This transcript may not be 100% accurate due to audio quality or other factors.

Dimitri Lascaris (DL): Good day, this is Dimitri Lascaris coming to you from Kalamata, Greece on October 6, 2026, for Reason2Resist. Today, the Saudi led forces in Yemen launched a major offensive, and by all accounts, or at least by the preponderance of the evidence, it was an unmitigated catastrophe for the Saudi led forces inside Yemen. In this report, we're going to break out what happened based on the available information, and we'll also discuss the ongoing turmoil in global oil markets and the most recent developments in the Strait of Hormuz. Before we begin, please remember to like and share this video. If you find it to be informative, and if you're not a subscriber of Reason2Resist, please do become one, help us to expand the reach of our unapologetic on the ground resistance journalism. So with that, let's get into it.

The offensive began with a propaganda campaign in which the The New York Times enthusiastically participated. This is an article published on October 3rd, and as you can see, it was titled: *Saudi-backed Yemeni government declares war against Houthis*, as if they weren't waging war on the Houthi's before then. And you'll see at the same time, the New York times was acknowledging that as of that moment, Yemen's Iranian backed, as they like to say, Houthis militia said it had hit an oil facility in the Saudi capital and the New York Times actually went so far as to provide an image of a huge plume of smoke rising from what appears to be the major Aramco refinery in Riyadh. Now in the body of the article they explained as follows: "The Saudi-backed government of Yemen on Sunday announced a major military campaign against the Houthi militia, a key Iranian ally, plunging the Middle East deeper into war. The new operation threatens to open a new front in the US-Israeli war with Iran and further entangle the US in the widening regional conflict that began more than seven months ago." Well, again, the United States has been entangled in this war and the region has been plunged into a cataclysmic series of conflicts long before this meaningless declaration really by the Saudi-led forces in Yemen.

But what I found particularly telling was that this article said that, "more than 200 US intelligence and military analysts are assisting the Saudi government in command centres inside the kingdom, helping to analyse and select targets in support of its Yemeni allies, according to one current and one former US official who spoke on condition of anonymity to discuss operational matters. The United States is also providing aero refuelling for Saudi warplanes conducting defensive air patrols", they added. So the United States is not only in this up to its neck, but it has a command role and very likely is coordinating at not only an operational level, but at a strategic level, how this war is being conducted. And that means if it's a failure, that failure is one that the US military is going to have to own, and also if they're helping the Saudis to select targets, then we should assume, and would be entitled to assume, that any civilian targets that are struck in violation of international law, that the United States approved those targets, or at least did not veto them. In any case, the next day, the much-ballyhooed Mecca Defence Alliance put out this statement: "The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, and the Republic of Turkey convened an emergency meeting of the Strategic, Political, and Defence Committee of the Mecca Alliance for Defence in Riyadh on October 5th to address the security developments and threats facing the kingdom. As part of the institutional development of the Mecca Alliance for Defence, the committee decided to appoint his Excellency Lieutenant General Nauman Mahmood of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan as the first Secretary-General for a three-year term."

Wow, that sounds impressive. And then in the third paragraph, which I've highlighted, they said: "The parties also condemned in the strongest terms the attempted targeting of Makkah and Madinah, as well as the continuing attacks targeting the cities and civilian and economic facilities in the kingdom, reaffirming their unequivocal rejection of any attack or threat against the kingdom's security and territorial integrity." So here you have the governments of both Turkey and Pakistan proving themselves once again to be abject vassals of Washington, aggressively promoting this absurd narrative that the Ansar Allah movement, which is deeply committed to Islamic principles, attacked the holiest sites in Islam, Makkah and Madinah. There's absolutely no evidence to back this up and it is contrary to everything we know, frankly, about Ansar Allah. In any case, they also notably did not condemn attacks on civilian targets by the Saudi led coalition inside Yemen. And there's ample evidence going back more than a decade that the Saudis have been committing one war crime after another by killing civilians, targeting hospitals, schools, markets, and the civilian death toll from these attacks vastly surpasses that of the civilian death toll arising from the Ansar Allah attacks. In fact, according to statements from Saudi, the number of civilians who have been killed, you can count them by Ansar Allah attacks on two hands, basically. So it is, again, the height of absurdity and a reflexion of the subservience of the governments of Turkey and Pakistan to Washington that they are accusing Ansar Allah of targeting civilians and civilian infrastructure while remaining completely silent on the vastly more deadly attacks of Saudi Arabia and its proxies in Yemen on civilians.

In any case, we then heard a plethora of reports today. I'm not gonna go through them. I'm going to fast forward to the current state of affairs that the Saudi led forces in the southwest of Yemen had advanced along the southern coastline of Yemen, had advanced into an area

called Murad, which is on the Bab al-Mandab Strait, and then had moved north along the Red Sea coast of Yemen into Makkah, and had actually seized Makkah. And this was, in fact, a narrative that Al Jazeera promoted and continued to promote without an iota of credible evidence to back it up. And as Al Jazeera and others were promoting this narrative that the Saudi led forces had gone all the way to Makkah and had taken it back, this strategically important city on the Red Sea coast of Yemen overlooking the Bab al-Mandab Strait, as they were doing this, the Yemeni forces, the resistance, were putting out videos showing that they were still inside Makkah and appeared very much to be in control. So at the end of the day, there does appear to have been a period during the past 24 hours where Saudi led forces maybe got as far as the outskirts of Makkah, and I'm being generous here, but all indications are at this stage that they were repelled by Ansar Allah, and they have more or less been pushed back to the positions from which they began this disastrous offensive and all of these events were helpfully summarised by Drop Site News today. This was a post they put out at 10.39 a.m. local time. "The Saudi-backed offensive in Yemen has run aground. Yesterday the Saudi-backed Presidential Leadership Council in coordination with Saudi Arabia launched a counter-offensive called Dawn of Yemen." Yes, you know, escalating a genocidal war, that's what the Saudis call the Dawn of Yemen. And Drop Site continues writing: "The first major operation of the counteroffensive was a charge by the Southern Giants Brigades, about 20 kilometres down the narrow Bab al-Mandab coastal plain as 100 Royal Saudi Air Force jets bombed hundreds of targets across Yemen. As of today, the Giants Brigades have been stopped somewhere near the Dhubab airport and have been sustaining heavy missile and drone fire from the adjacent mountains. Since the counter-offensive began yesterday, Ansar Allah has published two videos showing large-scale ballistic missile operations targeting the Giants Brigades, reinforcements along the narrow strip of southern Yemeni coast in Ras al-Ara", and we're going to show you some excerpts from those videos. And Drop Site then says: "Concurrently with the launch of Operation Dawn of Yemen yesterday, Ansar Allah completed the encirclement of PLC forces in Taiz City. They are now attempting to claim the remaining mountains that surround it, we will come back to that as well.

Also Megatron, a prolific analyst of these events in this area of the world wrote as follows: "Today's Saudi offensive and attempt to retake the Yemeni Red Sea coast ended in total collapse. The operation involved 100 Saudi fighter jets, thousands of fighters and hundreds of military vehicles. Initially Saudi backed forces supported by 100 fighter jets managed to capture Marib and the Dhubab Airport", which is well to the south of Mokha, by the way. "However, Iranian drones and missiles proved far more effective than the 100 fighter jets. The offensive was quickly halted and Saudi forces withdrew completely. Saudi media – including major outlets like Al Jazeera and Al Arabiya – had begun spreading widespread fake news claiming the capture of the strategic city of Mokha only for the entire story to be immediately exposed as a massive lie. In addition to that disaster for Saudi forces, the third largest city, Taiz, along with thousands of their fighters fell under a complete siege by the Houthis." Megatron, like Drop Site News, published a map along with their posts and you can see there the areas that appear to be controlled by Ansar Allah in brown red and then to the right of that, where the green arrows are signifying the retreat of the Saudi-led forces, you can see the areas that at this time are not controlled by Ansar Allah. Again. And I can't confirm

that this is exactly where the front line currently lies, but based on all the evidence I've seen, which I'm going to get into now, or shortly, this appears to be more or less accurate as to the current state of affairs. So before I show you those videos, let me just point out here that the Middle East Spectator published this map yesterday showing that the city of Taiz, which is near the top of this image in the area that's red brown, is now completely encircled. It is in a cauldron and it'll be interesting to see how many Saudi led mercenaries are in that pocket, but I would imagine that it's at least in the thousands and they are now in a world of pain. So let's look at some of the videos that the Yemeni resistance published today. This is a video of abandoned military vehicles of the Saudi led forces when they retreated.

That, I believe, is the southern coastline of Yemen, by the way, near the Bab al-Mandab Strait. And so here's the rest of that video.

Now, in addition, the Ansar Allah forces published video of precision missile and drone attacks on a vast throng of military vehicles of the Saudi led forces out in the open desert. This is just an excerpt of that video. There's a lot more to it. And here's the part that I thought was most pertinent for our purposes.

There you can see on the map the gathering of forces out in the open air with no cover in broad daylight and the precision of these strikes is frankly impressive.

Now, as I've said many times, it boggles the mind why the Saudi-led forces continue to concentrate forces out on flat land where there's no or almost no vegetation for cover in broad daylight when it is obvious that the Yemeni resistance possesses the capability to strike and strike hard at any forces that are exposed in that manner. Another video that I thought was worth sharing with you was a video of many resistance fighters seizing the home, the palatial home of the leader of the PLC, the Saudi-backed PLC in the Taiz region. And here is that video.

And there you can see them placing the flag of the Yemeni resistance up on the roof of this palatial residence. Yemen is one of the most...

...One of the most impoverished countries in the world, and it really is offensive in the extreme, that the highly compensated Saudi backed politicians and military leaders inside the country are living in such opulent conditions. I don't speak Arabic, but I'm pretty sure that there were a few references to the child murdering Israeli regime in those chants. Now, quite apart from the fact that the conditions on the ground for the Saudi-led forces inside Yemen amount to an unmitigated catastrophe, Yemen has struck back hard at the Saudis inside Saudi Arabia, and it began with an announcement by the Ansar Allah leadership, that they were going to effectively close down Saudi airspace. "The airspace of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia will be a theatre for the operations of our armed forces and the Republic of Yemen disclaims its responsibility after its announcement."

Now this may sound familiar to you because a very similar announcement was made by Volodymyr Zelensky with respect to Russian airspace a couple of weeks ago and it's hard for

me not to suspect that there was a hint of irony in the statement. Because Western governments had absolutely no problem with Zelensky proclaiming that his forces were going to render Russian airspace unsafe for all air traffic, including civilian air traffic. So if and when you hear the Western corporate media or Western governments condemn this, remember that they were perfectly fine for Zelensky to do precisely this, to make an announcement that air space against the opponent, the adversary, was unsafe for all purposes, including civilian air traffic. Following this announcement that Saudi airspace would henceforth be unsafe for air traffic, the Yemeni military leadership put out a statement about its targets inside Saudi Arabia. Brigadier General Yahya Saree, spokesman of the Yemeni Armed Forces, said that the armed forces of Yemen had targeted Abha International Airport with a ballistic missile. They asserted that the missile was precise, direct and led to disruption of flights and temporary stoppage of the activities of the airport. The armed forces in Yemen once again warned all international airlines and asked them to refrain from continuing to fly in the skies of Saudi Arabia. And then there was a report on Tasnim News that huge explosions had shook the north of Riyadh, the capital of Saudi Arabia. News sources reported a direct hit of a missile plane to Riyadh airport. Following this heavy attack at least ten passenger planes refused to land at Riyadh airport and had to change the emergency route.

These reports were more or less corroborated by Flightradar 24. You can see here a report that was issued within the past 24 hours or so, in the lower right-hand quadrant you can see Riyadh, and you can see a whole bunch of planes are not entering the immediate vicinity of Riyadh airspace. They seem to be circling around waiting for authorization to land or looking for an alternative emergency landing spot because of the attack on the airport in Riyadh. Ansar Allah also announced that it was targeting and had targeted the barracks, military barracks in Jizan with a missile. And the spokesperson of the Yemeni armed forces said that they "were able to target the gatherings of Saudi mercenaries in the Al-Dagharir military centre in Jizar region with a number of ballistic missiles. These missiles hit precisely and directly and as a result, dozens of Saudi mercenaries were killed and wounded. Relief vehicles were quickly dispatched to the target location, and among the ranks of the Saudi mercenaries, a state of confusion and widespread anxiety reigned."

And I want to, before I move to the Strait of Hormuz, just share with you a couple of other important pieces of information. First of all, a source in the Saudi energy sector has told Agence France Press, which I think if we can safely say is hostile to Ansar Allah and the resistance as a whole, that an attack Sunday had again halted pumping by the East-West pipeline to the Red Sea, a key conduit for Saudi exports. Agence France Presse also reported that loud explosions rattled the Saudi capital on Monday evening with an Ansar Allah spokesperson saying the group had hit King Khalid International Airport, a refinery belonging to state oil giant Aramco and other targets in the south of the Saudi entity. And here, in fact, is a video published in the last 24 hours of a huge plume of smoke rising again from a major Aramco refinery.

And to sum it all up, I think I should share with you a commentary by a widely followed oil analyst from the West, Brett Erickson. On X today he wrote as follows: "It is a VERY", and

he put very in caps, "bad sign for Saudi Arabia that their initial push into the counter offensive against Ansar Allah yielded almost no notable strategic gains and was responded to with Ansar Allah strikes on airports in Riyadh, Abha, Jizan, and Najran alongside strikes on oil refining in Rabigh. This is all going very, very wrong for MBS." I don't know what's going so wrong for him, he's richer than God and whatever happens, even if we were to be removed from power, he probably will live in the lap of luxury for the rest of his decrepit disgusting life. But it is indeed going very badly for the Saudi people whose interests apparently mean absolutely nothing to this so-called king, this bosom buddy of Jeffrey Epstein. And I think it would not be surprising if we see some massive unrest in Saudi Arabia soon, particularly from the Shia population, the brutally oppressed Shia population, which is concentrated in the south of the so-called kingdom. So far, we have not received reports of that kind of unrest, but the way things are going for the Saudi autocracy, that is a risk that I'm sure that they're quite concerned about.

And the other thing I should stress here, back when, in early September, when Ansar Allah seized the entire Red Sea coastline of Yemen and took a commanding position over the all-important Bab al-Mandab Strait, I argued that there was no way absolutely no way that the Saudis and their masters in Washington were going to take this line down. They would do everything they could to retake control of the Bab al-Mandab Strait because of its importance to global maritime trade and because control of it would give considerable leverage to Ansar Allah, a resistance movement. And indeed, this is what has happened. They are resorting to the most extreme forms of violence and desperate measures to try to retake control of the Bab al-Mandab Strait. And really, they claim that their target, in fact, their goal, their overall goal, is to eliminate Ansar Allah control of all Yemeni territory. I think that's a pipe dream. But the point I'm trying to make here is that this is far from over. And I think we should assume that there are going to be more attempts to seize control on the part of the Saudi-backed mercenaries in Yemen. And we may eventually see the United States become directly involved along with potentially other European militaries or other European militaries because they, I think, simply can't stomach the fact that a resistance movement has seized control of this all-important strait. So this horrible war is very, very far from over. And if the Saudis continue going down this path with the full support of Western governments and Western militaries, we may eventually see the Ansar Allah forces do something that they haven't really done up until now and that is actually strike Saudi oil fields. They've confined themselves to refineries, airports, military facilities, pipelines, but they actually haven't gone to the source of the oil. There's really no reason to doubt that they have the ability to set them all on fire and incinerate them, but they've withheld that from pursuing that option up until now. Now for them, this is an existential war and if they feel that it is necessary to go down that path, we should assume that that's exactly what they're gonna do. And if they do that, the price of oil is going to go through the roof. I would not be surprised to see the price of oil, a barrel of Brent crude, exceed \$200 US if there are major attacks on Saudi oil fields.

Now, I'm turning now to the Strait of Hormuz. Within the past 24 hours, the UKMTO, an arm of the British Navy, has issued a slew of reports of attacks on shipping in the Strait of Hormuz. Here is the second most recent report, issued yesterday; Attack incident date, 5th

October. "UKMTO has received a timely report of an incident within the Strait of Hormuz. A verified source has reported that an oil tanker transiting outbound has been struck by an unknown projectile. Authorities are investigating. Vessels are advised to transit with caution." And here is the most recent alert put out, as of the time that I started recording this report. Again, dated October 5th: "Incident date, October 5. UKMTO has received a report of an incident within the Strait of Hormuz. The Master of a tanker reports being struck by an unknown projectile causing a fire in the engine room of which the crew are fighting. There are no reported casualties or environmental impact at the time of this warning. Authorities are investigating." This is standard language and it is usually the case that the UKMTO, when it reports these attacks, confirms that, based on available information, there are no casualties to crew and no environmental impact.

Since October 1st, the UKMTO has issued eleven warnings; ten relating to Hormuz and one to the Bab al-Mandab Strait. This came after a period of relative quiet from the UKMTO from, I believe it was September, 24th to the 27th, there were no reports of any attacks in the Bab al-Mandab Strait or the Strait of Hormuz from UKMTO, but now they have issued, as I say, ten relating to the Strait of Hormuz since the beginning of October. That's almost two attacks per day in the Strait of Hormuz. One other thing I want to draw your attention to, actually two items. First of all this article from oilprice.com quoting the CEO of Saudi Aramco warning the whole world that inventories of oil are, as he put it, scarily thin. This is not a man, by the way, who is prone to hyperbole, so if he's using that kind of language, the situation is precarious indeed. Nasser, that's the name of the CEO, Amin Nasser. Says that the world has lost nearly three billion barrels of gross oil supply since the Iran war began, equivalent to roughly half the crude and products that normally would have moved through the Strait of Hormuz over the same period, leaving global inventories scarily thin even as crude flows from the Middle East recover. Nasser said replenishing inventories while continuing to meet global demand could take as long as two years, even after the Strait of Hormuz fully reopens. So that means that there is going to be upward pressure on the price of oil for up to two years or more and we will be feeling the effects of this war long after it comes to an end wherever that may be and at this point in time there is no end in sight.

And this brings me then to another article from the ever informative oilprice.com about some dire commentary coming out of the British bank Standard Chartered. And Standard Chartered, this was an article published yesterday, says Hormuz oil flows are far from normal. I'm reading here from the body of the article, the pertinent part: "Oil flows through the Middle East have staged an impressive rebound with export volumes recovering to near pre-war levels, even as traffic through the Strait of Hormuz remains well below normal. Standard Chartered estimates crude and condensate exports from the Gulf, excluding Iran, including bypass routes such as Fujairah", that's a port in the United Arab Emirates, "and the Red Sea reached roughly 16.5 million barrels per day in September. So this is before, it's important to note, the recent slew of attacks on Red Sea shipping and this is said to be broadly backed pre-war volumes, but only 60% of those barrels crossed the Strait of Hormuz compared with 83% before the war. Standard Chartered says, "the numbers show resilience rather than normalisation. Exporters have found ways to move the oil, but, and this the key

segment of this report from Standard Chartered, they are doing it less efficiently and at considerably higher cost. The system has been forced to use more complex workarounds, particularly a vessel-intensive chain of ship-to-ship transfers. Shuttle tankers are increasingly moving crude through Hormuz before transferring it to larger vessels in the Gulf of Oman, while exporters are also making greater use of pipelines and ports that bypass the Strait. The southern route along the Omani coast has become an important route for shuttle vessels moving through Hormuz. Standard Chartered says STS, Ship-to-ship transfer capacity appears saturated. Vessel utilisation remains inefficient, voyage times have lengthened, and both freight and security costs remain elevated".

So this is another warning from a Western financial institution that getting the oil through the Strait of Hormuz is only half the battle. The other half of the battle is getting it through the Strait of Hormuz at a cost that is not devastating to the global economy. And as we've reported here and many others, the cost of insurance and the cost of chartering these oil tankers has gone through the roof. They're absolutely enormous at unprecedented levels. And so getting the oil, even if they're getting out of the Strait of Hormuz, they're not really alleviating the global oil crisis because the cost of doing that is enormous. And in fact, it is unsustainable. So this was during a period where Iran was more or less taking it easy on shipping in the Strait of Hormuz in the second half of September. But those happy days are gone. And so you shouldn't be focused, my friends, solely or even primarily on how much oil is getting out of the Persian Gulf. The bigger question is, what is the cost of getting that oil out of the Persian Gulf? So with that, let us turn to some other developments relating to the wars of aggression in West Asia.

The first piece of news I'd like to share with you is that the United States Air Force has withdrawn from a key air base in the United Kingdom a number of B-1 bombers which had been stationed there for the purpose of threatening and attacking Iran. And here is an article published by the New York Times today: *Potentially Iranian drone attack led to exit of US aircraft from British air base*. "According to US and British officials, 12 B-1 Air Force bombers from RAF Fairford were withdrawn because of the risk of a drone attack ordered by Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps." Of course, they haven't published any evidence that Iran was planning such an attack. And by the way, they call this air base RAF, Royal Air Force Fairford, but it's basically a US Air Force base. That is what the British do, they put the acronym RAF in front of the name of the base to suggest that they control it, but in fact they are puppets of Washington and this is an US air base, which has been used aggressively to perpetrate a war of aggression on Iran.

Now let's imagine that in fact this was the intention of the Iranian government and military to somehow mount a drone attack on the airbase. By the way, I don't believe there's any evidence that the Iranian drones have a range that great. They do have missiles, ballistic missiles, which apparently do have sufficient range to hit that airbase, but if they were going to attack it with drones, they would have to do it from a launch point that is substantially closer to Britain than Iran is. But, and by the way, this article notes that this is something that the Ukrainians apparently did in attacking a Russian air base; they somehow found a way to

launch drones from inside Russia, which is a gigantic, the world's largest country and they found some way to do that and they hit strategic bombers at a Russian air base, that was some months ago. So the article suggests that the Iranian plan, assuming that it exists, was inspired by the Ukrainian attack. But that's not what I really want to focus on here. What I really want to focus on is this quote in the article from the child murdering war criminal JD Vance, Vice President of the United States. This is what Vance told the press: "'We do feel with some confidence that the Iranians are trying to do what the Iranian state has done for 49 years, which is engage in acts of terrorism against the United States and against a lot of people, other people as well', Mr. Vance's told reporters at Joint Base Andrews before leaving on a trip to Alaska. 'We are being extremely cautious'".

Well, he's implying that an attack on that airbase which has been used to bomb Iran in violation of international law would constitute an act of terrorism. This, folks, is ironclad evidence of just how corrupt and meaningless the Western government definition of terrorism is. If they were to attack that air base, which is clearly a military site and has been used to attack Iran in violation of international law, that wouldn't be terrorism, that would be an act of self-defence and Iran would have every right to do it. But they basically, you know, attach that label, the US government and other Western governments to any form of armed resistance, which is potentially effective, whether or not it complies with international law, So I think this is just another reason why we should banish the whole concept of terrorism from political discourse today. It has become extremely manipulative in terms of affecting people's thinking about the propriety, the legality, the morality of actions that are taken by Western governments, often with disastrous consequences for civilian populations in the non-Western world. We should get rid of these terrorist list designations. When people engage in crimes against civilians, we already have criminal laws that are eminently capable of imposing a real penalty on people who inflict violence with no justification on civilians. We have law enforcement authorities, you know, up the wazoo that are capable of enforcing those laws. The whole notion of terrorism and the legal system that has been built around it is nothing but a tool of Western imperialism and the manipulation of public opinion and ultimately is a basis for an ongoing assault upon our civil liberties.

Now, the two other items I wanna refer you to before I end this report – as you almost certainly know, I'm sorry, France is going up in flames. There are massive student protests across the country for the appalling conditions in schools in France, conditions that have emerged at the same time as France is raising its military spending to absolutely stratospheric levels along with other NATO powers. And the students are understandably fed up. There's just a report that came out that these protests have now spread to 40 cities in France. Basically it's a nationwide protest movement and the French police have become extremely repressive as one would expect based on their record towards these students. And things are likely to get much worse because as we've reported previously on this programme the yellow vests or in French as they're called the gilets jaunes are apparently going to reemerge after several years of hibernation on October 17th. There are calls all over social media for them to take the streets and also the environmental movement in France or at least some aspects of it appear inclined to join with the gilets jaunes on that day. And also firefighters are protesting

in France, farmers are irate, they're dumping huge piles of manure at government offices. The situation is really spiralling out of control entirely because of the utter contempt that Macron and the entire French political elite really have shown towards the interests of the French people for far too long.

And so what would you think that Emmanuel Macron is doing at this particular time? Is he in France and trying to manage the situation, trying to address in any meaningful way, the grievances of all of these constituencies? No, you'd be wrong about that if that's what you think Macron is doing. Little Napoleon is out on a frigate of the French Navy. And as independent journalist Chay Bowes notes here in a post he put out earlier today: "While France burns, Macron enjoys a ballistic missile launch from the nuclear submarine *Le Vigilant*." And he actually posted this statement, Macron did, with this picture. There's a video, this is just a still image you're seeing here, but there's a video with this ballistic missile rising up from the horizon and Macron was sitting there and of course, they positioned the camera. Right there, so that you can see the immaculate and powerful French president observing this demonstration of French military power. And in this video, or accompanying the video that he posted, Macron, he actually used these words that Chay Bowes quotes: "To be free, one must be feared. And to be feared, one has to be powerful."

No, you are not feared and the French people are not free and you are not powerful. You are an abject vassal of Washington and a depraved child murdering genocidal maniac to boot and you're going down motherfucker. You will not survive long. Your time is coming and I can only hope that the French People in what appears to be a burgeoning revolution can find a political leadership that actually cares about the basic interests of ordinary French citizens. Now related to this disgraceful display of machismo by Macron, there are reports coming out of Yemen that the French Air Force is helping the Saudis wage this genocidal war of aggression on the Yemeni people. And here you see a report today from Tasnim News: "Yemeni sources reported that an Airbus A330 MRTT refuelling plane belonging to the French Air Force took off from a base in Djibouti to refuel fighters participating in aggression against Yemen near Bab al-Mandab. Earlier, some Yemeni source had announced the participation of the British in the Saudi invasion of the residential areas of Yemen." And this, I don't know whether, in fact, the French and British Air Forces are participating in this criminal war of aggression, but I think that's very likely what they're doing. This is a NATO-wide war on any and all resistance to Western hegemony in this strategically important region of the world. It is in fact NATO, as I've said repeatedly, that is carrying out the genocide in Palestine and South Lebanon. It is NATO that is waging a war on Iran. It is Nato that destroyed Syria. It is NATO that occupied Iraq, and it is NATO that is tormenting the impoverished people of Yemen. The final piece of news I want to share with you is that Pew Research Centre has just put out another poll about US attitudes or Americans' attitudes towards Israel. And it shows that 60% of Americans now have an unfavourable perspective on Israel and an unfavourable opinion of Israel. And something in the range of 28% have a very unfavourable opinion and less than a third have a positive opinion of the genocidal satanic entity. Imagine how hostile the American public would be if the depraved corporate media were actually telling Americans the truth about what Israel is doing to the indigenous

peoples of this region with a full backing of pretty much all Western governments.

Now, at some point, the Israelis are going to have to pay the piper. You can't have a huge majority of the US voting public hostile to your country and expect that the political elite is going to remain committed to supporting you under any and all circumstances. Sooner or later, the Israelis will have to pay a price, and I believe that time is sooner. In any case, that's my report for today. Thank you for listening in. Please stay safe out there. Tomorrow I'm going to be travelling to Athens, tomorrow is October 7th, the day upon which this horrifying genocide began. It's just mind-boggling to think that here we are three years in and it's still continuing and our so-called leaders still have not held these genocidal war criminals accountable in any meaningful way. There's going to a big protest in Athens. I imagine that the riot cops are gonna be out, people are going to be protesting in the vicinity of the genocidal Israeli embassy in Athens. And then later in the month I will be going to France, and if these protests are still taking place you can be sure that Reason2Resist will be reporting on the ground from a country that is in incredible turmoil at this moment.

END

Thank you for reading this transcript. Please don't forget to donate to support our independent and non-profit journalism:

BANKKONTO:

Kontoinhaber: acTVism München e.V.
Bank: GLS Bank
IBAN: DE89430609678224073600
BIC: GENODEM1GLS

PAYPAL:

E-Mail:
PayPal@acTVism.org

PATREON:

<https://www.patreon.com/acTVism>

BETTERPLACE:

Link: [Click here](#)